**Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Project 4: Code as Text and the Impact of Computer Technology upon Culture. Montfort et al, *10 Print*; close read even chapters; scan odd ones. Prezi, linked off WordPress site.**

**15% of the overall grade for the course**

Key:

0 = No work produced at all or worth evaluating

1 = Poor. The quality of the content and writing are below the expectations, shows little thought or time put into the project, and some engagement with the concepts.

2 = Acceptable. The quality of the content and writing are in line of expectations, shows a strong regard for the project, and demonstrates good engagement with the concepts

3 = Exceeds expectations. The quality of the content and writing are exceptional, shows a very serious regard for the project, and demonstrates very strong engagement with the concepts.

**1. The quality of the content––Prezi Presentation, saved as a PDF and linked off the site**

0 1 2 3

Comments:

**2. The quality of the content––Responses to presentations**

0 1 2 3

Comments:

**3. The quality of the content––250-500 word Reflective Essay**

0 1 2 3

Comments:

**4. The quality of the WordPress site**

0 1 2 3

Comments:

**5. The quality of the writing**

0 1 2 3

Comments:

**6. The quality of the oral presentation**

0 1 2 3

Comments: